



SELF-EVALUATION REPORT FORM OF TRAINING FOR CITIZENS AND PUBLIC SECTOR¹

| Project title | Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk |
|--------------------------|--|
| | management in Western Balkan countries |
| Project acronym | NatRisk |
| Project reference number | 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP |
| Coordinator | University of Nis |
| Project start date | October 15, 2016 |
| Project duration | 36 months |

| Type of event | Training |
|------------------|--|
| Venue | University of Nis, Univerzitetski trg 2, Nis |
| Date | 10-11 May 2018 |
| Organizer | University of Nis |
| Reporting date | 14 May 2018 |
| Report author(s) | Milan Gocic |

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹This form has to be filled by training organiser and sent on e-mail address: natriskuni@gmail.com five days after training.





TRAINING DESCRIPTION with special reference to goals and outcomes

| Number of participants at the training | 30 |
|--|-------------------|
| Participants (organisations) | University of Nis |
| Training description: | |

This document reports the first Training for citizens and public sector of the Erasmus+ Capacity Building in the Field of Higher Education project "Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries" (NatRisk), held at the University of Nis (UNI), on the 10th and 11th of May 2018. The training was chaired by Assist. Prof. Milan Gocić. The objective was to introduce to participants the most relevant issues of natural disasters in Serbia and how to prevent them.

Thirty participants from six institutions were present at the two-day training.

First day

After the participants' registration which started at 09:30, Slaviša Trajković, vice-dean at the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, welcomed the participants. Milan Gocić presented the NatRisk project and achieved results.

According to the defined agenda, Slaviša Trajković presented drought as natural disasters and how to quantify it. Elefterija Zlatanović presented earthquakes in Serbia and bodies related to disasters in Serbia.

First day finished at 15:00.

Second day

Zoran Bonić introduced landslides and how we should react when they happened. Mladen Milanovic presented floods in Serbia and highlighted direct and indirect consequences they produce.

Participants and trainers summarized impressions and concluded that the similar trainings should be organised more often.

Second day finished at 14:30.





Attachments

| Attendance sheet (pdf) | Attendance lists |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Photos (jpg) | Photos |
| News form (pdf) | |
| Deliverable (pdf) | |
| Presentations (pdf) | NatRisk presentation |
| | Slavisa Trajkovic - Suše u Srbiji |
| | Zoran Bonic – Klizista |
| | Elefterija Zlatanovic – Zemljotresi |
| | Mladen Mialnovic - Poplave |
| Other personal remarks | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Organisation details

| Invitation sent to | 40 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Date of training material release | 11 May 2018 |
| Date of participants list's finalisation | 11 May 2018 |
| Number of participants (according to the participants list) | 30 (10 May), 32 (11 May) |
| Comments | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |





Problems encountered during the training preparation phase

| Pleas | add your comments, if any: | |
|-------|----------------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Strengths and limitations of the training (please include comments received)

| Strengths of the event and contributions or activities by participants | Good interaction and experience exchang between participants Presentations were very useful All presented topics were of the great importance | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Suggestions for the improvement | More institutions should take participation in the future | | | |
| Any further comments | ➤ The organisation was at the highest level | | | |

Evaluation details

Results of evaluation the general organisation of the training

Description

The general opinion is that the training was excellent organised.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

| Grading | Poor | OK | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
|--|------|----|------|-----------|-----------|
| Relevance of the topic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 96.7 |
| Usefulness of the acquired knowledge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Rating of the methodology of working with participants | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 10.0 | 86.7 |





| Rating of prepared training materials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.3 | 86.7 |
|---|---|---|---|------|------|
| Rating organization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.0 | 80.0 |
| Rating of working conditions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Rating interactivity in training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| Rating transferability of acquired knowledge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Rating of satisfaction of participation in training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 96.7 |
| The overall rating training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 90.0 |








Results of evaluation the general participant expectations

Description

Participants agreed in total that the training can help them in the future.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

| - u. v. v. (e) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----|------|-----------|-----------|
| Grading | Poor | OK | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
| | | | | | |
| Overall impression | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 80.0 |
| Manner of presentation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Scope of material | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Tempo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.3 | 86.7 |
| Examples | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 90.0 |
| Practical exercises | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| My expectations were met | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 96.7 |








Results of evaluation of trainer

Description

The quality of presentations and prepared material were evaluated with high marks.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

| Tuble(b)/Tigute(b) | | | | | |
|---|------|----|------|-----------|-----------|
| Grading | Poor | OK | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
| | | | | | |
| Quality of presentations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 |
| Quality of prepared material | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| Relationship with participants | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 93.4 |
| Enabling active participation of participants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 93.3 |
| Quality of the training organization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 |
| Overall rating of trainer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 |









| Please indicate your suggestions for further training's improvement: | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Location, date | Signature | | | |
| | 4 | | | |
| N. 44N. 2040 | Ch/ L | | | |
| _Nis, 14 May 2018_ | | | | |